NAME OF THE ORGANISM: Candidatus Phytoplasma ulmi (Elm phloem necrosis phytoplasma) (PHYPUL)

GENERAL INFORMATION ON THE PEST

Name as submitted in the project specification (if different to the preferred name):
 
  
Pest category:
 
Bacteria **1- Identity of the pest/Level of taxonomic listing:**  
Is the organism clearly a single taxonomic entity and can it be adequately distinguished from other entities of the same rank?
 
Yes  
Is the pest defined at the species level or lower?:
 
Yes  
Can listing of the pest at a taxonomic level higher than species be supported by scientific reasons or can species be identified within the taxonomic rank which are the (main) pests of concern?

* Not relevant: Forest reproductive material sector

Is it justified that the pest is listed at a taxonomic rank below species level?
 
Not relevant  
Conclusion:

* Candidate: Forest reproductive material sector

Justification (if necessary):
 
When replying to the RNQP Questionnaire for the Forestry Sector, ENA only proposed the listing of Ulmus procera. However ENA confirmed later by email that they would agree with a listing at a level higher than species: Host range is confined to the family Ulmaceae, and mostly to the Ulmus genus. At least 13 Ulmus species are considered as host plants (EFSA, 2014). Experts decided to perform the evaluation of the RNQP status for the entire Ulmus genus as well as for Zelkova serrata, belonging to the Ulmaceae family, which is also a host plant (EFSA, 2014) and could be used as rootstock. **2 – Status in the EU:**
   
Is this pest already a quarantine pest for the whole EU?
 
No  
Presence in the EU:
 
Yes  
Conclusion:
 
candidate  
Justification (if necessary):
 
Even though this pest is listed in annex IA1 (quarantine pest, absent from the EU) of Council Directive 2000/29/EC, this pest is a candidate for the RNQP status according to the IIA2AWG. Data of the presence of this pest on the EU territory are available in EPPO Global Database (<https://gd.eppo.int/>). Since no targeted surveys are undertaken, the distribution of CPu in Europe is unclear and suspected to be underestimated (EU COM, 2014). More recently the pest was found in 2016 in Croatia (Katanic et al., 2016) and in Slovenia (to be published). The pest is probably native from the EU in regards to the high genomic diversity found on the European territory.

HOST PLANT N°1: Zelkova serrata (ZELSE) for the Forest reproductive material sector.

Origin of the listing:
 
Forestry SEWG  
Plants for planting:
 
Plants intended for planting, other than seeds **3 - Is the pest already listed in a PM4 standard on the concerned host plant?**
 
No 
Conclusion:
 
Evaluation continues **4 - Are the listed plants for planting the main\* pathway for the "pest/host/intended use" combination? (\*: significant compared to others):**
 
? 
Conclusion:
 
  
 
Justification:
 
CPu is graft transmissible and is efficiently transmitted through plant propagation material, which is widely used by nurseries. However, in area where the pest is present, CPu is also transmitted by sap-feeding insects (e.g. Macropsis glandacea, Allygidius furcatus, Cixius sp., Lassus scutellaris). Philaenus spumarius is also identified as a vector. Vectors are persistently and systemically infected after a latent period. Controlling the vectors of CPu is quite impracticable in natural environments (EFSA, 2014). Experts considered that there are no practical measures to prevent infections of seedlings in forest nurseries by vectors, which are very good fliers (only nets, no insecticide suppression is effective). **5 - Economic impact:**  
Are there documented reports of any economic impact on the host?
 
Yes  
Justification:
 
Several plants of Z. serrata grown in Ancona, Marche region (central eastern Italy), have shown symptoms of chlorosis which involve the whole plant or some  
of the branches, foliar reddening on one or more branches, attenuation of apical dominance and proliferation of lateral shoots, witches’ broom, reduced  
growth and stunting of the plant (Romanazzi & Murolo, 2008).  
What is the likely economic impact of the pest irrespective of its infestation source in the absence of phytosanitary measures? (= official measures)
 
Minimal  
Is the economic impact due to the presence of the pest on the named host plant for planting, acceptable to the propagation and end user sectors concerned?
 
Yes  
Is there unacceptable economic impact caused to other hosts (or the same host with a different intended use) produced at the same place of production due to the transfer of the pest from the named host plant for planting?
 
No  
Conclusion:
 
Not candidate  
Justification:
 
Economic impact is so far minimal for the forestry sector. **CONCLUSION ON THE STATUS:**
 
Disqualified: economic impact is minimal and considered as acceptable for the forestry sector. **8 - Tolerance level:**  
Is there a need to change the Tolerance level:
 
No  
Proposed Tolerance levels:
 
Not recommended for the RNQP status. **9 - Risk management measures:**  
Is there a need to change the Risk management measure:
 
No  
Proposed Risk management measure:
 
Not recommended for the RNQP status. **REFERENCES:**
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